arXiv:1401.5588v4 [math.QA] 29 Oct 2014

Modular Macdonald functions and Generalized Newton's identity

Tommy Wuxing Cai, Naihuan Jing, and Jian Zhang

Abstract. Based on a generalized Newton's identity, we construct a family of symmetric functions which deform the modular Hall-Littlewood functions.

1. Introduction

Let Λ_F be the ring of symmetric functions over the field $F = \mathbb{Q}(q,t)$. Macdonald [**M**] introduced an orthogonal family of symmetric functions $Q_\lambda(q,t)$ and their dual family $P_\lambda(q,t)$ indexed by partitions λ. When $q=0$, the functions $Q_\lambda(t) = Q_\lambda(0,t)$ are the well-known Hall-Littlewood polynomials, which include the Schur Q-functions as a special example when $t=-1$. Morris and Saltana [**MS**] studied the Hall-Littlewood polynomials $Q_\lambda(\xi_m)$ when $t=\xi_m$ an mth root of 1 and suggested that they have close relation with modular representation theory of the symmetric group, which was confirmed by [**LLT**]. Recently a spin invariant theory was also established by Wan and Wang [**WW1, WW2**] where a strong representation theoretic explanation was found for a similar but different deformation of Schur Q-functions.

It is well-known that this kind of specialization in Hall-Littlewood polynomials to Schur Q-functions or more generally modular Hall-Littlewood functions must be dealt separately with care, because the original argument for the general case often does not work at the modular case. For example, in [**M**] one section was devoted to Schur Q-functions indexed by strict partitions.

In this work we consider the subring $\Lambda^{(m)}$ of symmetric functions generated by power-sum symmetric functions associated with m-regular partitions (i.e. m does not divide each part). We will directly prove that there exists a family of orthogonal polynomials $Q_\lambda(q,\xi_m)$, λ running through m-reduced

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05E05; Secondary: 17B69, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. Newton's identities, Macdonald functions, modular Hall-Littlewood polynomials, vertex operators.

*Corresponding author: Naihuan Jing.

partitions (i.e. with multiplicities $m_i(\lambda) < m$), which deforms the modular Hall-Littlewood polynomials $Q_\lambda(\xi_m)$.

The Macdonald polynomials have been characterized as the eigenfunctions of the Macdonald operator [**M**] and the Macdonald symmetric functions were also shown to be eigenfunctions of certain vertex operator like operators [**AMOS, CW, GH, S, NS**] (also see [**CJ1, CJ2**]). We follow the same strategy together with a new technique of Newton's identity [**CJ2**] in the modular cases. We will construct a graded differential operator $X(z) = \sum_n X_n z^{-n}$ on the space $\Lambda^{(m)}$ with certain triangular property. The modular Macdonald polynomials are shown to be the distinguished eigenvectors for the differential operator X_0. We remark that this differential operator is not a specialization of the Macdonald operator and its limit. It is an open problem whether there exists two parameter deformation of the modular Hall-Littlewood polynomials, which are suggested by the interesting case of Schur Q-functions [**WW1, WW2**].

The paper is organized as follows. In section two we first recall the background information of symmetric functions and give special attention to the subring $\Lambda^{(m)}$ generated by symmetric functions indexed by m-regular partitions. We study the main technique of the generalized Newton identity for the generalized complete homogeneous polynomials in section three. We then construct certain differential operator acting on the subring $\Lambda^{(m)}$ in section four where some of its basic properties are studied. In particular we prove a generalized Newton-like identity and then derive the existence of generalized Hall-Littlewood functions.

2. Partitions and modular symmetric functions

First we fix the notations for partitions following [**M**]. A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ of n, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$, is a sequence of weakly decreasing non-negative integers such that $n = \sum_i \lambda_i$, where λ_i are called its parts and n is called the weight and denoted by $|\lambda| = n$. When the parts are arranged increasingly $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots)$, then $m_i = m_i(\lambda)$ is called the multiplicity of i in λ. With this notation the length of λ is defined to be $l(\lambda) = \sum_i m_i$. The union $\lambda \cup \mu$ of two partitions λ and μ is defined by $m_i(\lambda \cup \mu) = m_i(\lambda) + m_i(\mu)$ for all i. Let $\mathcal{P}(n) = \{\lambda \vdash n\}$ be the set of partitions of n and $\mathcal{P} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(n)$ the set of all partitions. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, the dominance order $\lambda \geq \mu$ is defined by $\sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{i} \mu_j$ for all i. We write $\lambda > \mu$ if $\lambda \geq \mu$ but $\lambda \neq \mu$. If $m_i(\mu) \leq m_i(\lambda)$ for all i, we denote $\mu \subset' \lambda$ and define $\lambda \backslash \mu \in \mathcal{P}$ by $m_i(\lambda \backslash \mu) = m_i(\lambda) - m_i(\mu)$. We also define $m(\lambda)! = \prod_{i \geq 1} m_i(\lambda)!$.

From now on we fix a positive integer $m \geq 2$, and let ξ_m be a fixed primitive mth root of unity.

If each nonzero part of λ is not divisible by m, the partition λ is called *m-regular* and we denote $m \nmid \lambda$, and let $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ denote the set of m-regular partitions. Accordingly $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}(n) = \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \cap \mathcal{P}(n)$. If each multiplicity of λ

satisfies $m_i(\lambda) < m$, the partition λ is called *m-reduced*, and we use $\mathcal{P}_m$ to denote the set of m-reduced partitions. Similarly $\mathcal{P}_m(n) = \mathcal{P}_m \cap \mathcal{P}(n)$.

LEMMA 2.1. *For positive integers m, n, the set $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}(n)$ of m-regular partitions of weight n and the set $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ of m-reduced partitions of weight n have the same cardinality.*

The ring Λ of symmetric functions in the variables $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$, is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis m_λ, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, where for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_s)$, the monomial function $m_\lambda = m_\lambda(x) = \sum_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots$, where α runs over distinct compositions of $\lambda = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l, 0, \cdots)$. When the partition has only one part, i.e. $\lambda = (n)$, we denote $p_n = m_{(n)} = x_1^n + x_2^n + \cdots$ and call it the nth power sum symmetric function. For convenience, we define $p_0 = 1$ and $p_n = 0$ when $n < 0$. The power sum symmetric functions $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots$ form another basis of $\Lambda_F = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ for a field F of characteristic 0. The vector space Λ_F is also a commutative algebra over F with the natural multiplication defined by $p_\lambda p_\mu = p_{\lambda \cup \mu}$. It is a graded algebra with degree given by $\deg(p_i) = i$, $i \geq 0$.

3. A generalized Newton's formula and its refinement

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative F-algebra with the unit 1. Let $\{q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ with $q_0 = 1$, we define $q_\lambda = q_{\lambda_1} q_{\lambda_2} \cdots q_{\lambda_s}$ for any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s)$. For convenience, we set $q_n = 0$ for $n < 0$.

The following theorem generalizes the Newton identity (see Remark 3.3 of Corollary 3.2 in [**CJ2**]).

THEOREM 3.1. [**CJ2**] *Assume $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is another sequence of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{i \geq 1} R_i q_{n-i} = d_n q_n$ with $d_n \in F$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $R_0 = 1$. Then for any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s)$ of length s, we have*

$$\sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_s \geq 1} R_{i_1 + \cdots + i_s} q_{\lambda_1 - i_1} \cdots q_{\lambda_s - i_s} = \sum_{\mu \geq \lambda} d_{\lambda\mu} q_\mu, \tag{3.1}$$

where $d_{\lambda\mu} \in F$. In particular $d_{\lambda\lambda} = (-1)^{s-1} d_{\lambda_s}$.

We can give a combinatorial description of the coefficient $d_{\lambda\mu}$.

LEMMA 3.2. *For two partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s)$ of length s and $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_t)$ of length t, let $N_l(\lambda, \nu)$ be the cardinality of the set*

$$\{(i_1, \ldots, i_s) | i_1, \ldots, i_s \geq 1 \text{ and } q_{\lambda_1 - i_1} \cdots q_{\lambda_s - i_s} = q_\nu\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then one has that

$$m(\nu)! N_l(\lambda, \nu) = k_1 (k_2 - 1) \cdots (k_t - (t-1)), \tag{3.3}$$

where k_i is the number of j's such that $\lambda_j > \nu_i$. Moreover $N_l(\lambda, \nu)$ is also given by the following formula:

$$m(\nu)! N_l(\lambda, \nu) = \prod_{\substack{(i,k): i \geq 1, \\ 1 \leq k \leq m_i(\nu)}} \Big(1 - k + \sum_{j \geq i+1} \big(m_j(\lambda) - m_j(\nu)\big)\Big). \tag{3.4}$$

PROOF. For the first statement, we only need to consider the case that $s \geq t$. Otherwise $N_l(\lambda, \nu) = 0$ and it is easily seen that the statement is true. For convenience, we write ν in the following form:

$$\nu = (a_1 a_1 \cdots a_1 a_2 a_2 \cdots a_2 \cdots) = (a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \cdots a_r^{m_r}), \tag{3.5}$$

where $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_{r-1} > a_r \geq 0$ and $m_1 + \cdots + m_r = s$. Thus if $s > t$, then $a_r = 0$ and $m_r = s - t$. Now let S be the set of partitions $\mathcal{M} = (M_1, \ldots, M_r)$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that for $d = 1, \ldots, r$

(1) the cardinality of M_d is m_d; i.e. $|M_d| = m_d$,
(2) $j \in M_d \Rightarrow \lambda_j > a_d$.

We want to define a bijection ϕ from S to the set (3.2). For $\mathcal{M} = (M_1, \ldots, M_r) \in S$, we define a $\phi(\mathcal{M}) = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$ by letting $i_j = \lambda_j - a_d$ for $j \in M_d$. By definition, $\lambda_j - i_j = a_d$ if and only if $j \in M_d$ (as $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ are distinct). This is equivalent to the equality $q_{\lambda_1 - i_1} \cdots q_{\lambda_s - i_s} = q_\nu$ (as $\nu = (a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \cdots a_r^{m_r})$ and $|M_d| = m_d$). We thus see that ϕ is really a bijection.

Hence we only need to find the cardinality of S. We consider the set $\widetilde{S}$ of permutations of $\alpha = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{m_1}, j_{m_1+1}, j_{m_1+2}, \ldots, j_{m_1+m_2}, \ldots)$ of $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\lambda_{j_d} > a_1$ for $d = 1, \ldots, m_1$ and $\lambda_{j_d} > a_2$ for $d = m_1 + 1, \ldots, m_1 + m_2$ and so on. We see that $|\tilde{S}| = m_1! \ldots m_r! |S|$ and thus

$$N_l(\lambda, \nu) = |S| = \frac{|\widetilde{S}|}{m_1! \cdots m_r!}. \tag{3.6}$$

Now we compute the cardinality of $\widetilde{S}$. To obtain a $\alpha = (j_1, j_2, \ldots) \in \widetilde{S}$, we first have k_1 choices for j_1. After j_1 is taken, we have $k_2 - 1$ choices for j_2 and so on. We see that

$$|\widetilde{S}| = k_1(k_2 - 1) \cdots (k_t - (t-1))(k_{t+1} - t) \cdots (k_s - (s-1)).$$

Combining with expression (3.6), we get formula (3.4) in the case that $s = t$. If $s > t$, then $m_r = s - t$, $m(\nu)! = m_1! \cdots m_{r-1}!$ and $k_{t+1} = \cdots = k_s = s$ (as $\nu_{t+1} = \cdots = \nu_s = 0$). So $(k_{t+1} - t) \cdots (k_s - (s-1)) = m_r!$ and we also obtain formula (3.4). We finish proving the first statement.

The second expression comes from the first one. For each $i \geq 1$, there is a string of $m_i(\nu)$ i's in ν. For the kth i in this string, we denote its position in ν by $g_\nu(i, k)$ (so in particular $\nu_{g_\nu(i,k)} = i$). We can rewrite the right side of (3.4) in the following form:

$$\prod_{\substack{i \geq 1; \\ 1 \leq k \leq m_i(\nu)}} \big(f_\lambda(i) - (g_\nu(i,k) - 1)\big), \tag{3.7}$$

where $f_\lambda(i)$ is the number of j's such that $\lambda_j > i$. We see that $f_\lambda(i) = \sum_{j>i} m_j(\lambda)$, and also $g_\nu(i,k) = k + \sum_{j>i} m_j(\nu)$. Plugging these into (3.7), we finish the proof of (3.4). □

LEMMA 3.3. *Under the same hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 we have* $R_n = \sum_{\mu\vdash n} d_\mu q_\mu$ *where*

$$d_\mu = (-1)^{l(\mu)-1}\frac{(l(\mu)-1)!}{m(\mu)!}\sum_k m_k(\mu)d_k. \tag{3.8}$$

PROOF. By assumption $q_n+R_1q_{n-1}+R_2q_{n-2}+\cdots+R_{n-1}q_1+R_n = d_nq_n$, so $R_n = d_nq_n-R_1q_{n-1}-R_2q_{n-2}-\cdots-R_{n-1}q_1$. Plugging R_i $(i=n-1,\cdots,1)$ into this equation iteratively, we can write $R_n = \sum_{\mu\vdash n} d_\mu q_\mu$ with $d_\mu \in F$.

We now show by induction that d_μ are given by (3.8). First when μ has only one part, i.e. $\mu = (|\mu|)$, then $d_\mu = d_{|\mu|}$ so (3.8) is true. Let $\mu = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2}\cdots)$ have at least two parts. For each $m_i \geq 1$ set $\mu^i = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2}\cdots i^{m_i-1}\cdots)$. Then d_μ is $\sum_i -d_{\mu^i}$, where the sum runs over all i with $m_i \geq 1$. Note that $l(\mu^i) = l(\mu)-1$, by induction hypothesis we have

$$d_{\mu^i} = (-1)^{l(\mu)-2}\frac{(l(\mu)-2)!}{m(\mu)!}m_i\big(-d_i+\sum_k m_k(\mu)d_k\big). \tag{3.9}$$

Note that if $m_i = 0$, the right side of (3.9) is zero. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\mu &= \sum_i -d_{\mu^i} \\ &= -(-1)^{l(\mu)-2}\frac{(l(\mu)-2)!}{m(\mu)!}\sum_i m_i\big(-d_i+\sum_k m_kd_k\big) \\ &= (-1)^{l(\mu)-1}\frac{(l(\mu)-2)!}{m(\mu)!}\big(-\sum_i m_id_i + l(\mu)\sum_k m_kd_k\big) \\ &= (-1)^{l(\mu)-1}\frac{(l(\mu)-1)!}{m(\mu)!}\sum_k m_kd_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now the numbers $d_{\lambda\mu}$ can be computed as follows.

COROLLARY 3.4. *The coefficient* $d_{\lambda\mu}$ *in Theorem 3.1 can be expressed as*

$$d_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{\nu\subset'\mu} N_l(\lambda,\nu)d_{\mu\setminus\nu}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $N_l(\lambda,\nu)$ *and* d_μ *are defined by Equations (3.4) and (3.8) respectively.*

4. A generalized modular Hall-Littlewood function

Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\dots)$ be a sequence of (finite or infinite) non-zero parameters. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon) = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\cdots)$ be the field of rational functions in $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\dots$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The ring $\Lambda_\mathbb{Q} = \Lambda\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ is a free commutative algebra generated by the power-sum symmetric polynomials $p_1,p_2,\dots$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, thus the power sums symmetric functions $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1}p_{\lambda_2}\cdots$, $\lambda\in\mathcal{P}$, form a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear basis. By

abuse of notation, we let $\Lambda(\epsilon)$ denote the ring $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$. We equip $\Lambda(\epsilon)$ with the following scalar product [**Ke**]:

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}\epsilon_\lambda z_\lambda, \tag{4.1}$$

where δ is the Kronecker symbol, $\epsilon_\lambda = \epsilon_{\lambda_1}\epsilon_{\lambda_2}\dots$ with $\epsilon_0 = 1$, and

$$z_\lambda = \prod_{i\geq 1} i^{m_i(\lambda)} m_i(\lambda)!.$$

We are interested in the subalgebra $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ generated by p_n's with $m \nmid n$, i.e. $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ has a linear basis spanned by p_λ, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. This subalgebra is a graded algebra with the natural degree gradation:

$$\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_n^{(m)}(\epsilon), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Lambda_n^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ is the subspace of homogeneous symmetric functions of degree n.

For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, we define the generalized complete symmetric functions $q_\lambda = q_{\lambda_1}q_{\lambda_2}\cdots$, for which q_n are given by:

$$Y_m(z) = \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n\epsilon_n} p_n\Big) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus q_λ is homogeneous of degree $|\lambda|$, $q_0 = 1$ and for $n > 0$ one has

$$q_n = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}^{(m)}(n)} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda\epsilon_\lambda} \in \Lambda_n^{(m)}(\epsilon). \tag{4.4}$$

The following elementary result generalizes well-known bases in Λ.

LEMMA 4.1. *The following three sets are all bases of* $\Lambda_n^{(m)}(\epsilon)$*:*

$$\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{(m)}(n)\} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\{q_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{(m)}(n)\} \tag{4.6}$$

$$\{q_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_m(n)\}. \tag{4.7}$$

PROOF. First of all (4.5) defines a basis by definition. Applying logarithm to both sides of Eq. (4.3), we find that

$$p_n = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} n\epsilon_n(-1)^{l(\lambda)-1}\frac{(l(\lambda)-1)!}{m(\lambda)!}q_\lambda, \tag{4.8}$$

for each n such that $m \nmid n$. This implies that each p_λ in (4.5) is a linear combination of q_μ's (with $|\mu| = |\lambda|$). Notice that the three sets have the same cardinality by Lemma 2.1. Then the lemma is a consequence of the following facts: for $k \geq 1$,
(a) q_{km} is a linear combination of q_μ's with $\mu < (km)$,
(b) $q_{(k^m)}$ is a linear combination of q_ν's with $m_i(\nu) < m$ and $\nu > (k^m)$.

In fact one can iteratively use (a) ((b) respectively) to express q_λ –and thus p_λ– as a linear combination of the elements in (4.6)((4.7) respectively).

Now let us turn to the proof of (a) and (b). Recall that ξ_m is an mth primitive root of 1. One has

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_n q_n\xi_m^{in}z^n\Big) &= \prod_{i=1}^{m} Y_m(\xi_m^i z)\\ &= \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n}\frac{(\xi_m^n+\xi_m^{2n}\cdots+\xi_m^{mn})z^n}{n\epsilon_n}p_n\Big)\\ &= 1,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where we use the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_m^{in}=0$ if $m\nmid n$. For every positive integer k, considering the coefficient of z^{km} in Equation (4.9), we have

$$mq_{km}+\sum_\nu c_\nu q_\nu+(-1)^{(m+1)k}q_{(k^m)}=0, \tag{4.10}$$

where the sum is over ν such that $1<l(\nu)\le m$ and $\nu\neq(k^m)$. Note that among all partitions μ such that $|\mu|=km$ and $l(\mu)\le m$, (km) is the largest and (k^m) is the smallest in terms of the dominance order. Thus q_{km} is a linear combination of some q_μ's with $\mu<(km)$, and $q_{(k^m)}$ is a linear combination of q_μ's with $m_i(\mu)<m$ and $\mu>(k^m)$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 4.2. From the proof we see that q_λ is a linear combination of q_μ's with $m\nmid\mu\le\lambda$, and it is also a linear combination of q_μ's with $\mu\ge\lambda$ and $m_i(\mu)<m$.

We need some linear operators on $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$. For a positive integer n with $m\nmid n$, define $h_n,h_{-n}\in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)}(\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon))$ by:

$$h_n\cdot v=n\epsilon_n\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}v, \tag{4.11}$$

$$h_{-n}\cdot v=p_n v, \tag{4.12}$$

where $v\in\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$.

For an operator A on $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$, the conjugate A^* is defined by $\langle A\cdot u,v\rangle=\langle u,A^*\cdot v\rangle$ ($u,v\in\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$). We say A is *self-adjoint* if $A=A^*$. We say that A is a *raising operator* for the set $\{q_\lambda\}$ if $A\cdot q_\lambda$ is of the form $A\cdot q_\lambda=\sum_{\mu\ge\lambda}a_{\lambda\mu}q_\mu$ for all q_λ in this set.

We can easily prove that $h_n^*=h_{-n}$ and $h_i.q_n=q_{n-i}$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $m\nmid i>0$.

4.1. A generalization of modular Hall-Littlewood functions. For the algebra $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ (of symmetric functions), we consider $\epsilon_n=\frac{q^n-1}{(1-\xi_m^n)c^n}$, where $m\nmid n$ and q,c are two parameters. We define the following vertex

operator

$$X(z) = \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{z^n h_{-n}}{n}(1-\xi_m^n)c^n\Big)\exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{z^{-n}h_n}{n}(1-\xi_m^n)\Big) = \sum_n X_n z^{-n}, \tag{4.13}$$

which maps $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ to $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)[[z]]$, the space of formal power series of $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ in z. We will show that the eigenvectors of X_0 form an orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Define $R_n \in \Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ by*

$$\exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{z^n p_n}{n}(1-\xi_m^n)c^n\Big) = \sum_{n\geq 0} R_n z^n,$$

then we have

$$\sum_{i\geq 1} R_i q_{n-i} = (q^n - 1)q_n.$$

PROOF. This follows from the following computation:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}(\sum_{i\geq 0} R_i q_{n-i})w^n = \sum_{i\geq 0} R_i w^i \cdot \sum_{j\geq 0} q_j w^j$$
$$= \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{w^n p_n}{n}(1-\xi_m^n)c^n\Big)\exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{w^n p_n}{n\epsilon_n}\Big) = \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{w^n p_n}{n\epsilon_n}q^n\Big)$$
$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n (qw)^n.$$

□

THEOREM 4.4. *The operator X_0 is self-adjoint and acts on q_λ's as a raising operator, i.e. $X_0 \cdot q_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\geq\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu}q_\mu$. Moreover the leading coefficient is given by*

$$c_{\lambda\lambda} = 1 + (1-\xi_m)\sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)}(q^{\lambda_i}-1)\xi_m^{i-1}.$$

REMARK 4.5. Combining this with Remark 4.2, we can also write $X_0 \cdot q_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda\leq\mu\in\mathcal{P}_m} c'_{\lambda\mu}q_\mu$. Moreover $c'_{\lambda\lambda} = c_{\lambda\lambda}$ if $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_m$.

PROOF. Let us first define an operator Y_n on $\Lambda(\epsilon)$ by:

$$Y(w) = \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{w^n}{n\epsilon_n}h_{-n}\Big) = \sum_n Y_n w^n. \tag{4.14}$$

Note that the action of Y_n on $\Lambda(\epsilon)$ is the multiplication by q_n, i.e. $Y_n \cdot v = q_n v$.

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$, $X_0 \cdot q_\lambda$ is the coefficient of $z^0 w_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots w_s^{\lambda_s}$ in the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
&X(z)Y(w_1)\cdots Y(w_s)\cdot 1\\
&= \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1} \frac{z^n h_{-n}}{n}(1-\xi_m^n)c^n\Big)\exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{z^{-n}h_n}{n}(1-\xi_m^n)\Big)\\
&\qquad\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{s}\exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{w_i^n}{n\epsilon_n}h_{-n}\Big)\cdot 1\\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} R_n z^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s}\exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{w_i^n}{n\epsilon_n}h_{-n}\Big)\prod_{i=1}^{s}\exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1}\frac{(w_i/z)^n}{n}(1-\xi_m^n)\Big)\cdot 1\\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0} R_n z^n \cdot \sum_{n_1,\dots,n_s\geq 0} q_{n_1} w_1^{n_1}\cdots q_{n_s} w_s^{n_s}\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{1-\xi_m w_i/z}{1-w_i/z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{1-\xi_m w_i/z}{1-w_i/z} = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k (w_i/z)^k$, where $a_0 = 1$ and $a_k = 1-\xi_m$ for $k \geq 1$. Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
X_0 \cdot q_\lambda &= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_s\geq 0} R_{i_1+\cdots+i_s} a_{i_1} q_{\lambda_1 - i_1}\cdots a_{i_s} q_{\lambda_s - i_s}\\
&= \sum_{\lambda_{\underline{j}}} \frac{(1-\xi_m)^k q_\lambda}{q_{\lambda_{j_1}}\cdots q_{\lambda_{j_k}}} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k\geq 1} R_{i_1+\cdots+i_k} q_{\lambda_{j_1}-i_1}\cdots q_{\lambda_{j_k}-i_k}, \qquad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have pulled out q_{λ_j} whenever $i_j = 0$ and $\lambda_{\underline{j}} = (\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_k})$ runs through any subsequence of λ. By Lemma 4.3, R_n and q_n satisfy the assumption of Theorem 3.1 with $d_n = q^n - 1$. Using Theorem 3.1 we can compute the inside sum on the right of (4.15) as $\sum_{\mu\geq\lambda_{\underline{j}}} d_{\lambda_{\underline{j}}\mu} q_\mu$ with the leading coefficient $(q^{\lambda_{j_k}} - 1)(-1)^{k-1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
X_0 \cdot q_\lambda &= \sum_{\lambda_{\underline{j}}} (1-\xi_m)^k q_{\lambda\setminus\lambda_{\underline{j}}} \sum_{\mu\geq\lambda_{\underline{j}}} d_{\lambda_{\underline{j}}\mu} q_\mu\\
&= \sum_{\lambda_{\underline{j}}}\sum_{\mu\geq\lambda_{\underline{j}}} (1-\xi_m)^k d_{\lambda_{\underline{j}}\mu} q_{\mu\cup(\lambda\setminus\lambda_{\underline{j}})}\\
&= \sum_{\mu\geq\lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} q_\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

The leading coefficient is

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 + \sum_{\lambda_{\underline{j}}\neq\emptyset} (1-\xi_m)^k (q^{\lambda_{j_k}} - 1)(-1)^{k-1}\\
=&1 + \sum_{k=1}^{l(\lambda)} (1-\xi_m)^k \sum_{l(\lambda_{\underline{j}})=k} (q^{\lambda_{j_k}} - 1)(-1)^{k-1}
\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to $c_{\lambda\lambda}$ by the binomial formula. □

Note that it is possible that $c_{\lambda\lambda} = c_{\mu\mu}$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$. For example, this happens when $\lambda = (1^k 2^{m+l})$, $\mu = (1^{k+2m} 2^l)$. However if we restrict the partitions to those with multiplicities less than m, than $\lambda \neq \mu$ does imply $c_{\lambda\lambda} \neq c_{\mu\mu}$. To see this, for $\lambda = (1^{m_1(\lambda)} 2^{m_2(\lambda)} \cdots)$ we can rewrite the *main* part of $c_{\lambda\lambda}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda(q) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} (q^{\lambda_i} - 1)\xi_m^{i-1} \\ &= (q-1) \sum_{m_1(\lambda) \geq j \geq 1} \xi_m^{l(\lambda)-j} + (q^2-1) \sum_{m_2(\lambda) \geq j \geq 1} \xi_m^{l(\lambda)-m_1(\lambda)-j} \\ &\qquad + (q^3-1) \sum_{m_3 \geq j \geq 1} \xi_m^{l(\lambda)-m_1(\lambda)-m_2(\lambda)-j} + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

If $c_{\lambda\lambda} = c_{\mu\mu}$ then $f_\lambda(q) = f_\mu(q)$. Then we must have $\sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \xi_m^{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \xi_m^{i-1}$, which leads to $m | l(\lambda) - l(\mu)$ using the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \xi_m^i = 0$ if and only if $m|r$. Comparing the coefficients of q in $f_\lambda(q)$ and $f_\mu(q)$, we should have $m \mid m_1(\lambda) - m_1(\mu)$. But $m_1(\lambda), m_1(\mu) \in [0, m-1]$ thus $m_1(\lambda) = m_1(\mu)$. Similarly, we can show that $m_i(\lambda) = m_i(\mu)$ for $i = 2, 3, \cdots$. Therefore we have $\lambda = \mu$.

Remark 4.6. Using the same argument, we can show that $c_{\lambda\lambda} = c_{\mu\mu}$ if and only if $m_i(\lambda) \equiv m_i(\mu) \mod m$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus if $c_{\lambda\lambda} = c_{\mu\mu}$, $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ then both λ and μ have a multiplicity greater than m.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\epsilon_n = \frac{q^n - 1}{1 - \xi_m^n} c^{-n}$. Then for each partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_m$ (with $m_i(\lambda) < m$), there is a unique symmetric function Q_λ in the algebra $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ such that*
(1) $Q_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \leq \mu \in \mathcal{P}_m} C_{\lambda\mu} q_\mu$, where $C_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$ with $C_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$,
(2) Q_λ is an eigenvector of X_0.
Moreover these Q_λ's give rise to an orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{(m)}(\epsilon)$ and $X_0.Q_\lambda = c_{\lambda\lambda} Q_\lambda$ with $c_{\lambda\lambda}$ given in Theorem 4.4.

Proof. To prove the first statement we need to show that there is a unique set of constants $C_{\lambda\mu}, \lambda \leq \mu \in \mathcal{P}_m$ with $C_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$ such that $\sum_{\lambda \leq \mu \in \mathcal{P}_m} C_{\lambda\mu} q_\mu$ is an eigenvector of X_0, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\lambda \leq \mu \in \mathcal{P}_m} c_{\lambda\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu} q_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \leq \mu \in \mathcal{P}_m} C_{\lambda\mu} X_0 \cdot q_\mu. \tag{4.16}$$

Here we used the fact that the eigenvalue is $c_{\lambda\lambda}$. Let $X_0 \cdot q_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \leq \mu \in \mathcal{P}_m} c'_{\mu\nu} q_\nu$ (see Theorem 4.4), and we compare the coefficients of q_ν for $\nu \geq \lambda$ in both sides of (4.16). For $\nu = \lambda$, the coefficients in both sides are already equal,

and $C_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$ is fixed. For $\nu > \lambda$, we solve that

$$C_{\lambda\nu} = \frac{\sum_{\nu>\mu\geq\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu} c'_{\mu\nu}}{c_{\lambda\lambda} - c_{\nu\nu}}.$$

By induction on the dominance order we see that each $C_{\lambda\nu}$ is uniquely determined by this formula. This finishes the proof of the first statement.

As for the second statement, we first have $X_0 \cdot Q_\lambda = c_{\lambda\lambda} Q_\lambda$ by Theorem 4.4 (and Remark 4.5). It follows from the self-adjointness of X_0 that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda\lambda}\langle Q_\lambda, Q_\mu\rangle &= \langle X_0 \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu\rangle = \langle Q_\lambda, X_0 \cdot Q_\mu\rangle \\ &= c_{\mu\mu}\langle Q_\lambda, Q_\mu\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Q_λ are orthogonal by the fact that $c_{\lambda\lambda} \neq c_{\mu\mu}$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$. □

REMARK 4.8. Set $c = \xi_m^{-1}$; then $\epsilon_n = \frac{1-q^n}{1-\xi_m^{-n}}$ corresponds to the specialization of $t = \xi_m^{-1}$ in Macdonald functions. The vertex operator and the eigenvalue are given by

$$X(z) = \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1} \frac{z^n h_{-n}}{n}(\xi_m^{-n} - 1)\Big) \exp\Big(\sum_{m\nmid n\geq 1} \frac{z^{-n} h_n}{n}(1 - \xi_m^n)\Big),$$

$$c_{\lambda\lambda} = 1 + (1 - \xi_m) \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} (q^{\lambda_i} - 1)\xi_m^{i-1}.$$

Furthermore, if we consider $m = 2$ ($\xi_m = -1$) and $q = 0$, then $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{2}$ (for odd n), then Q_λ's (for strict partition λ) are Schur Q-functions.

REMARK 4.9. The symmetric functions we constructed bear certain similarity with the deformation of Schur's Q-functions [**J2**] constructed via vertex operators similar to [**J1**]. These q-Schur Q-functions were later systematically studied in [**TZ**] where they conjectured the positivity of the q-Kostka polynomials. In [**WW1, WW2**] a different deformation of Schur Q-functions was studied from the viewpoint of representation theory of spin groups.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Jinkui Wan and Weiqiang Wang for stimulating discussion on a related problem. The first and third authors thank China Scholarship Council for partial support and MIT and NCSU respectively for hospitality during part of this work. The second author is grateful to the support of Simons Foundation grant 198129, NSFC 11271138, Humboldt foundation and Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences at Leipzig for hospitality during the work.

References

[AMOS] H. Awata, Y. Matsuo, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi, *Collective field theory, Calogero-Sutherland model and generalized matrix models*, Phys. Lett. B 347 (1995), 49–44.

[CJ1] W. Cai, N. Jing, *Applications of Laplace-Beltrami operator for Jack functions*, European J. Combin. 33 (2012), 556–571.

[CJ2] T. W. Cai, N. Jing, *A generalization of Newton's identity and Macdonald functions*, J. Combin. Theory A 125 (2014), 342–356.

[CW] S.-J. Cheng, W. Wang, *The correlation functions of vertex operators and Macdonald polynomials*, J. Alg. Combin. 25 (2007), 43–56.

[GH] A. Garsia, M. Haiman, *A Remarkable q, t-Catalan Sequence and q-Lagrange Inversion*, J. Alg. Combin. 5, (1996), 191–244.

[J1] N. Jing, *Vertex operators and Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. 87 (1991), 226–248.

[J2] N. Jing, *Vertex operators and generalized symmetric functions*, in Proc. Conference on Quantum Topology, ed. by D. N. Yetter, 111–126, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.

[Ke] S. V. Kerov, *Generalized Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions and orthogonal polynomials*, Representation theory and dynamical systems, 67–94, Adv. Soviet Math. 9, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[LLT] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, J.-Y. Thibon, *Green polynomials and Hall-Littlewood functions at roots of unity*, European J. Combin. 15 (1994), 173–180.

[M] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., With contributions by A. Zelevinsky. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.

[MS] A. O. Morris, N. Sultana, *Hall-Littlewood polynomials at roots of 1 and modular representations of the symmetric group*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 110 (1991), 443–453.

[NS] M. Nazarov, E. Sklyanin, *Macdonald operators at infinity*, J. Alg. Combin. 40 (2014), 23–44.

[S] J. Shiraishi, *A family of integral transformations and basic hypergeometric series*, Commun. Math. Phys., 263 (2006), 439–460.

[WW1] J. Wan, W. Wang, *Spin invariant theory for the symmetric group*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 215 (2011), 1569–1581.

[WW2] J. Wan, W. Wang, *Spin Kostka polynomials*, J. Alg. Combin. 37 (2013), 117–138.

[TZ] G. Tudose, M. Zabrocki, *A q-analog of Schur's Q-functions*. Algebraic combinatorics and quantum groups, 135–161, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003.

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, China and Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA

E-mail address: caiwx@scut.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205, USA

E-mail address: jing@math.ncsu.edu

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, China

E-mail address: j.zhang1729@gmail.com